

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

LJW 6/30/2020 MJM/JKM: USAO#2019R00400

SANDERS,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT: QURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD

v.

AT BALTIMERIMINAL NO. JKB-20-168

* DEPUTY
(Wire Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 1343; False

Claims, 18 U.S.C. § 287; Aggravated

Identity Theft, 18 U.S.C. § 1028A;

Aiding and Abetting, 18 U.S.C. § 2;

Forfeiture, 18 U.S.C. § 981)

•

.

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

COUNTS ONE THROUGH NINE (Wire Fraud)

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland charges that:

Introduction

- 1. At all times material to this Indictment, CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD SANDERS ("SANDERS") resided in Maryland. Between 2015 and approximately March 2016, he lived in College Park, Maryland, and from March 2016 to 2019, he lived in Hagerstown, Maryland.
- 2. In June 2014, SANDERS formed SANDTECH LLC ("SANDTECH") as a Maryland limited liability company and stated in the Articles of Organization that its business was the sale of video teleconference hardware to the United States Department of Defense and federal government. SANDERS was the sole owner, agent and president of SANDTECH.
- 3. In October 2014, **SANDERS** opened a bank account at Capitol One Bank ending in *5777 in the name of **SANDTECH**. **SANDERS** was the sole signer on the account.
- 4. In March 2016, SANDERS formed CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES LLC ("CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES") as a new Maryland limited liability company and stated in the

Articles of Organization that it was an "audio visual video teleconference company." **SANDERS** was the sole owner, agent and president of **CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES**.

- 5. In May 2016, **SANDERS** opened a bank account at BB&T ending in *8626 in the name of **CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES**. **SANDERS** was the sole signer on the account.
- 6. In June 2016, **SANDERS** filed Articles of Cancellation with the State of Maryland to terminate **SANDTECH**. At the time, **SANDTECH** had existing contracts with federal government agencies.

At all times material to this Indictment:

- 7. The following United States government departments and agencies were part of the executive branch:
 - a. Department of Defense;
 - b. United States Marine Corps;
 - c. United States Navy;
 - d. United States Army; and
 - e. Department of Labor.
- 8. Polycom, Inc., ("Polycom") was a manufacturer of electronic communications hardware and software, and provided warranty coverage for its products. Polycom maintained relationships with certain companies called "partners" which were authorized to buy directly from Polycom and/or distribute Polycom's new and warrantied products.
- 9. Cisco Systems, Inc., ("Cisco") was a manufacturer and provider of network services and communications equipment, and provided warranty coverage for its products. Cisco maintained relationships with certain companies called "partners" which were authorized to buy directly from Cisco and/or distribute Cisco's new and warrantied products.

10. United States government contracting requirements stated that procurement was restricted to new, warrantied electronic equipment. In part, this requirement reflected the government's need to maintain the security of its electronic equipment.

Small Business Contracting with the Federal Government

- 11. When United States government departments and agencies needed to acquire goods or services, they were required to comply with federal acquisition regulations ("FAR"). Businesses that wanted to contract with the United States were required to register on the Systems for Award Management computer database ("SAM.gov"), which was maintained by the General Services Administration. SAM.gov required registrants to provide a nine-digit DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) number from Dun & Bradstreet.
- 12. When a business registered on SAM.gov, the business received its own identifying number and created a profile containing the address, contact information and banking information necessary to receive payment. The SAM.gov-registered business was eligible to respond to "requests for quotations" ("RFQs") posted by any federal agency on a computer system called "FedBid.com." "RFQs" contained agency specifications for specific contracting needs, including for example, in the case of computer equipment or hardware systems, the requirement that the electronic equipment be brand new and under manufacturer's warranty.
- businesses registered on SAM.gov, collected any bids placed by prospective vendors, ranked the bids by price from lowest to highest, and furnished the list to the agency contracting officer. All RFQs and bids received unique numbers. When the agency awarded an acquisition contract through FedBid.com, the contract provided when the successful bidder was required to fulfill the contract, what the bidder was contracting to provide, how the successful bidder was to invoice the particular government agency, and how the successful bidder would receive payment.

- 14. FedBid.com was able to access information on previous contract performance by a SAM.gov registrant, including the agency for a contract, and positive or negative information about contract performance, and provided the contract performance information to a government employee considering contract bids.
- 15. Businesses accessed SAM.gov and Fedbid.com over the internet using interstate wires and signals. Federal agencies communicated through FedBid.com with bidders on RFQs. Businesses submitted invoices to federal agencies to request payment for goods or services through interstate wires and signals, including through email and/or by electronically uploading invoices to federal government agency websites. Businesses provided their bank account details to government agencies over interstate wires and signals, and received payment over interstate wires and signals directly to their bank accounts.
- 16. The Defense Finance and Accounting System ("DFAS") administered payment for acquisitions for the Department of Defense ("DOD") and the military including the United States Marine Corps ("USMC"), United States Navy, and United States Army. DOD maintained a computer system called Wide Area Work Flow ("WAWF"). WAWF permitted contractors to create invoices for services and equipment provided to DOD or its components electronically. The server for WAWF was located in Utah.

The Scheme to Defraud

- 17. From on or about February 10, 2015, to on or about June 6, 2018, SANDERS devised and intended to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud the United States, its departments and agencies, and to obtain money and property from those departments and agencies by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.
- 18. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS registered SANDTECH and CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES on SAM.gov and used FedBid.com to place

- bids on RFQs on behalf of SANDTECH and CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES to provide various federal government agencies with telecommunications equipment and services. The contract specifications required SANDTECH and CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES to provide new telecommunications equipment which was under warranty.
- SANDTECH and CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES to enter into contracts with federal government agencies to provide new telecommunications equipment which was under warranty.
- The second of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS to place bids and ask or answer questions.
- 21. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that in communications with government contracting officials on behalf of his companies, SANDERS promised to provide telecommunications equipment that was brand new and under warranty to meet the express needs of the contracting government agencies and the requirements of their contracts.
- addresses including collin@sandtech.org and info@sandtech.org to send and receive emails on behalf of SANDTECH.
- 23. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS formed CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES and later dissolved SANDTECH after SANDERS caused SANDTECH to fail to perform contracts with the United States Army and Department of Labor ("DOL"), which were subsequently terminated for cause.
 - 24. It was a further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS contracted with GoDaddy.com to host a website for CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES and associated

email addresses such as corys@cycorptechnologies.com, support@cycorptechnologies.com, and contracts@cycorptechnologies.com, which SANDERS used to send and receive emails on behalf of CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES.

- 25. It was a further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that **SANDERS** operated **CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES** from his home in Hagerstown but at times, used other addresses such as 1629 K Street, Suite 300, Washington, D.C.
- 26. It was a further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS falsely represented to government officials that the name of SANDTECH was changed to CYCORP.

 TECHNOLOGIES so SANDERS could invoice SANDTECH contracts as CYCORP.

 TECHNOLOGIES, when SANDERS knew that SANDTECH and CYCORP.

 TECHNOLOGIES were separate business entities.
- with contracting officials, SANDERS provided false information about the delivery, source, warranty, and/or condition of electronic equipment provided by his companies, to include misrepresentations that the equipment was new and protected by the manufacturer's warranty when he knew that the equipment was not new, or new but not under warranty, or procured through unauthorized channels.
 - 28. It was a further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that in his communications with contracting officials, SANDERS provided false information and false documents about the credentials, certifications, and qualifications of CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES and about.

 CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES's relationship with Polycom and Cisco, to include forged and fabricated documents falsely certifying the status of CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES as an authorized partner of Polycom and Cisco.

- 29. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that **SANDERS** used email or the internet to submit invoices to contracting government departments and agencies, which invoices requested payment for **SANDTECH** or **CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES** when the contract performance was non-existent or deficient and when **SANDERS** had misrepresented the status of his company as an authorized partner of Polycom.
- 30. It was a further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that SANDERS' used ...
 invoices on behalf of SANDTECH and CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES so that the contracting
 government departments and agencies would pay for non-existent or deficient performance by
 electronic deposit into business bank accounts.

The Charge

31. On or about the dates indicated below, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere, the defendant,

CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD SANDERS, AND A SECOND SANDERS

having devised and intending to devise the scheme and artifice to defraud described above, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire and radio communication in interstate commerce the writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds described below for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice to defraud; namely,

Count	<u>Date</u>	Agency	Contract No.	Nature of Transmission	Route
1	7/14/2015	USMC	15-0180, 15-0096	Email to contracting official C.M. stating that equipment to be provided by SANDTECH was brand new with Cisco	Maryland to Virginia
2	8/18/2015	USMC	15-0180	Invoice to DFAS created on WAWF requesting payment of \$6,904.09 to SANDTECH	Maryland to Utah
3	8/27/2015	USMC	15-0180	Electronic payment of \$6,904.09 by DFAS to Capital One Bank account *5777	Ohio to Maryland

7

:	Count	<u>Date</u>	Agency	Contract No.	Nature of Transmission	Route
<i>i</i> .	4.	10/21/2015	USMC	15-0096	Invoice to DFAS created on WAWF requesting payment of \$7,002.96 to SANDTECH	Maryland to Utah
	5	10/29/2015	USMC	15-0096	Electronic payment of \$7,002.96 by DFAS to Capital One Bank account *5777	Ohio to Maryland
	6	4/22/2016	DOL	16-0083	Email to contracting official L.E. with invoice attached requesting payment of \$80,752 to CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES for Polycom maintenance	Maryland to Texas
	Tonica Section Washington	1/23/2017	Navy	17-0117	Email to contracting official D.O. with a forged certificate attached stating that CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES was a "Gold Certified Partner" of Cisco	Maryland to Virginia
	8	7/31/2017	DOL	17-0067	Electronic payment of \$68,000 by DOL to BB&T account *8626	District of Columbia to Maryland
		6/6/2018	DOL 	17-0067	Email to contracting official R.J. with letter attached falsely stating that CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES was an Authorized Partner of Polycom and bearing the signature of Polycom official B.H.	Maryland to District of Columbia

18 U.S.C. § 1343 18 U.S.C. § 2

COUNTS TEN AND ELEVEN (False Claims)

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland further charges that:

- 1. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 30 of Counts One through Nine are incorporated by reference here.
- 2. On or about the dates indicated below, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere, the defendant,

CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD SANDERS,

made and presented to persons and officers in the civil, military, and naval service of the United States, and to departments and agencies thereof, claims upon and against the United States and departments and agencies thereof, as described below, knowing such claims to be false, fictitious, and fraudulent; to wit, the defendant submitted the following invoices on behalf of SANDTECH knowing that SANDTECH rendered materially deficient performance by providing electronic equipment that was not brand new and/or not protected by manufacturer's warranty:

Count	<u>Date</u>	Agency	Contract No.	Invoice Amount
10	8/18/2015	USMC	15-0180	\$6,904.09
11	10/21/2015	USMC	15-0096	\$7,002.96

18 U.S.C. § 287

18 U.S.C. § 2

COUNT TWELVE (Aggravated Identity Theft)

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland further charges that:

- 1. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 30 of Counts One through Nine are incorporated by reference here.
 - 2. On or about June 6, 2018, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere, the defendant,

CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD SANDERS,

did knowingly transfer, possess, and use, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person during and in relation to a felony enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(c), that is, wire fraud as charged in Count Nine of this Indictment, knowing that the means of identification belonged to another person; to wit, the defendant used the name and signature of B.H, a Polycom official, in a forged letter of certification dated January 8, 2016, which stated that CYCORP TECHNOLOGIES met requirements for certification and specialization with Polycom and was therefore qualified to sell particular Polycom products and services, and transferred the forged letter of certification to a contracting official of the U.S. Department of Labor.

18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1), (c)(5)

18 U.S.C. § 2

FORFEITURE

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland further charges that:

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2, notice is hereby given to the defendant that, in the event of the defendant's conviction on Counts One through Nine of this Indictment, the United States will seek forfeiture as a part of any sentence, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).
- 2. As a result of the offenses charged in Counts One through Nine of this Indictment, the defendant,

CORY COLLIN FITZGERALD SANDERS,

shall forfeit to the United States any and all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived from, proceeds traceable to such offenses.

- 3. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of the property described above as being subject to forfeiture:
 - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
 - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
 - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
 - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
 - e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

the United States shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property of the defendant pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) 21 U.S.C. § 853(p) 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)

Robert K. Hur

United States Attorney

A TRUE BILL:

Foreperson

7-1-20

Date